IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 2ND AUGUST 2007 / 11TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 14297 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

S.SREEKUMAR S., S/O.SADANANDAN,
SANTHOSH VIHAR, KALUMPURAM,
SAKTHIKULANGARA, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.B.MOHANLAL.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA,**
   REP. BY THE SECRETARY,
   FISHERIES DEPARTMENT,
   SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES,**
   COLLECTORATE, KOLLAM.

3. **THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES,**
   COLLECTORATE, KOLLAM.

4. **THE ASST. DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES,**
   NEENDAKARA, KOLLAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. NANDAKUMAR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02/08/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C). NO.14297/2007:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE RADIO TELEPHONE INLAND MARITIME CERTIFICATE NO. 2743 DTD. 25/05/1993 ISSUED BY THE WIRELESS OFFICER, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SANCHAR MANTHRALAYA).

EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE RENEWED LICENCE DTD. 23/07/2005.

EXT.P.3: COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE NO. 766/2004 ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PORTS DTD. 18/05/2004 TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P.4: COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE CHIEF MINISTER, GOVERNMENTOF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM ON 19/06/2006.

EXT.P.5: COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENTS 1 AND 2.

EXT.P.6: COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPT AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD EVIDENCING THE RECEIPT OF EXT.P.5. BY RESPONDENTS 1 AND 2.

EXT.P.7: COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO. 8969/A1/2006/FIS. DEPT. DTD. 24/08/2006.

EXT.P.8: COPY OF THE NEWS ITEM APPEARED IN THE MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY DTD. 25/03/2007.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

prv.

Antony Dominic, J.

==========

W.P(C).No.14297 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 2nd day of August, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioner claims appointment to the post of Radio Officer. It is stated that he made a representation before the respondents claiming that he may be accommodated in a vacancy which is available. However, learned Government Pleader submitted that the post falls within the purview of the Public Service Commission and that the vacancy has already been notified. Since recruitment has to be made by the Public Service Commission and as steps have already been initiated for recruitment, I do not find it proper to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner. Now that the vacancy has been notified by the Public Service Commission, petitioner also will be at liberty to respond to the notification issued by the Public Service Commission for recruitment.

I do not find any merit in this Writ Petition and the same is dismissed.

Antony Dominic,
Judge.

ess 2/8